UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/564,292	01/10/2006	Kui Yong Lim	DE 030244	6997	
65913 NXP, B.V.	7590 08/20/200	EXAMINER			
NXP INTELLE	ECTUAL PROPERTY	BAISA, JOSELITO SASIS			
M/S41-SJ 1109 MCKAY	DRIVE	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
SAN JOSE, CA	A 95131	2832			
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			08/20/2009	ELECTRONIC	

## Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

ip.department.us@nxp.com

		Application No. Applicant(s)							
Office Action Summary			10/564,292		LIM ET AL.				
			Examiner		Art Unit				
			JOSELITO E	SAISA	2832				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this commur or Reply	nication appe	ears on the c	over sheet with the o	correspondence ad	ddress			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).									
Status									
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) file	ed on <i>20 Ma</i>	v 2009						
•	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>20 May 2009</u> .  This action is <b>FINAL</b> .  2b) This action is non-final.								
3)	Since this application is in condition	<i>,</i> —			secution as to the	e merits is			
٠,٠	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Dispositi	on of Claims								
4)⊠	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1 and 4-14</u> is/are pending in the application.								
•	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1 and 5-14</u> is/are rejected.								
· ·	Claim(s) <u>1 and 3-14</u> is/are rejected.  ☐ Claim(s) <u>4</u> is/are objected to.								
,	Claim(s) are subject to restrict	ction and/or	election req	uirement.					
Applicati	on Papers								
9)□	The specification is objected to by th	ne Examiner							
•	The drawing(s) filed on <u>10 January 2</u>			ted or b) objected	I to by the Examir	ner.			
10/2	Applicant may not request that any obje								
						FR 1.121(d).			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.									
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119								
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>									
2)  Notic 3)  Inform	t(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (I nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	PTO-948)	4 5 6	<b>                                    </b>	ate				

## DETAILED ACTION

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1 and 4-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Knutson et al. [4035695] in view of Takahira [5424527].

Regarding claims 1, 8, 9 and 11-14, Knutson discloses an inductive system comprising a first part in the form of a printed coil 52 (a loop); and a second part in the form of a non-printed coil 46 (see figure 2); the non-printed coil 46 comprises an air coil comprising a further number of turns defined by at least one wire diameter and at least one coil diameter; which coil represented by loop 52 and which non-printed coil 46 are coupled serially; the coil (26, 28, 30) is on an outer layer of a printed circuit board (40, 10); and wherein the total inductance of the inductive-system is substantially equal to an inductance of the printed coil plus an inductance of the air coil [Col. 2, Lines 45-48, Figure 1] and [Col. 3, Lines 28-38, Figure 2].

Knutson discloses the instant claimed invention discussed above except for the printed coil is spiral loop; and wherein a mutual inductance which is also based on a direction of said printed coil, a direction of said air coil and a length of said air coil.

However, Knutson discloses that the loop 52 (coil 52) with bridges 53, 54 is similar to the spiral coil of Figure 1 that has the first turn 26 and second turn 28 which includes loop 30 having plurality of bridges 32 [Col. 2, Lines 45-54, Figure 1].

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to use the spiral coil as taught by Knutson in Figure 1 to the inductive loop shown in Figure 2.

The motivation would have been to expand variation in inductance value of the inductive system [Col. 2, Lines 61-67, Figures 1 and 2].

Takahira discloses a mutual inductance of an inductive-system substantially equal to an inductance of coil 51a plus an inductance of the coil 51b which is based on winding direction, size and shape (length or diameter) of coil which depends on number of turns and pitch of the coil [Col. 4, Lines 44-50, Figure 1].

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to apply the coil relation as taught by Takahira to the coil of Knutson.

The motivation would have been to be able to produce a mutual inductance that is based on winding directions, size and shape for certain device application [Col. 5, Lines 30-50].

With respect to claim 10, the claims are method counterpart of structure of claim 1 and method steps therefore are inherent for manufacturing an inductive system comprising a first part in the form of a printed coil and a second part in the form of a non-printed coil.

Regarding claim 5, Knutson discloses the number of turns (26, 28) are further defined by a diameter of a center path and a turning direction, with the further number of turns (26, 28) being further defined by a turning orientation [Col. 2, Lines 45-50, Figure 1].

Application/Control Number: 10/564,292 Page 4

Art Unit: 2832

Regarding claim 6, Knutson discloses one end of the non- printed coil 46 is coupled (in

place of jumper 22) to a center end of the coil (26, 28, 30), with the other end of the non-printed

coil 46 and an outer end of the coil (26, 28, 30) constituting ends of the inductive-system [Col. 3,

Lines 28-38, Figures 1 and 2].

Regarding claim 7, Knutson discloses the coil (26, 28, 30) is on an outer layer of a

printed circuit board (40, 10) [Col. 3, Lines 28-38, Figures 1 and 2].

Allowable Subject Matter

Claim 4 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be

allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and

any intervening claims.

Reason for allowable subject matter:

Claim 4 recites, inter alia, mutual inductance increases with the length of the air coil until

a maximum overlapping area between the printed coil and the air coil has been reached.

The references of record do not teach or suggest the aforementioned

limitation, would it be obvious to modify those references to include such limitation.

Response to Argument

Art Unit: 2832

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1 and 4-10 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Takahira discloses a mutual inductance of an inductive-system substantially equal to an inductance of coil 51a plus an inductance of the coil 51b which is based on winding direction, size and shape (length or diameter) of coil which depends on number of turns and pitch of the coil.

Takahira in general teaches about making coils 51a and 51b identical but wound in opposite direction to cancel each other. Therefore, difference in size and shape that would involve length plus winding direction would affect the mutual inductance of the inductive system.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Joselito Baisa whose telephone number is (571) 272-7132. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 5:30 am to 2:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Elvin Enad can be reached on (571) 272-1990. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/564,292 Page 6

Art Unit: 2832

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Elvin G Enad/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2832 Joselito Baisa Examiner Art Unit 2832

/J. B./ Examiner, Art Unit 2832